

# SIMULTANEOUS PLANARIZATION OF POLE PIECE AND COIL MATERIALS FOR WRITE HEAD APPLICATIONS

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

### 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to thin film heads for magnetically writing information on data storage media, and particularly to fabrication processes for manufacturing such heads. Still more particularly, the invention concerns the planarization of device layer surfaces in thin film magnetic write heads using chemical mechanical polishing techniques.

### 2. Description of the Prior Art

By way of background, thin film magnetic write heads conventionally include a P2 pole piece having a sloping surface that extends toward the pole tip area where the head's write gap is located. An example of this conventional geometry is shown in the Fig. 1, which illustrates a side view of a prior art write head 2 in which the ABS (Air Bearing Surface) of the head is on the right side of the figure. The P2 pole piece 4 lies over an integrated layer 6 containing plural copper coils 8 surrounded by insulative hard bake resist material 10. Conventional plating techniques are used to form the P2 pole piece 4 over the integrated layer 6. In particular, after a suitable photoresist mask pattern is applied to define the required P2 yoke configuration, a ferromagnetic material such as an Ni-Fe alloy (permalloy) is plated from a liquid or gaseous medium or deposited using any known deposition method. A disadvantage of this technique is that the ill-defined slope 12 of the integrated layer 6 as it approaches the pole tip 14 makes it

difficult to control the geometry of the P2 photoresist mask. In addition, the application of P2 material onto the integrated layer's sloped surface can produce a P2 layer having non-uniform thickness and varying ferromagnetic properties. A further disadvantage is that write head track width is difficult to control. Each of the foregoing problems may be present to different degrees in any given write head of a single fabrication batch, or between write heads produced in different fabrication batches. This makes repeatability of results difficult to achieve and reduces production yields accordingly.

As a solution to the foregoing design problem, applicants' assignee previously developed a write head design in which the sloping P2 pole layer of the prior art write head is replaced with a combined P2/P3 structure that has no sloping surfaces. One example of this design approach is shown in Fig. 2, which illustrates an improved write head 20 in which the ABS is on the left side of the figure. The write head 20 includes a P1 pole layer 22 covered by an integrated layer 24 containing plural copper coils 26 surrounded by insulative hard bake resist material 28. After a first alumina dielectric layer 30 is added, a combined P2/P3 pole piece 32 is formed. Initially, only a pair of small P2 stubs 34a and 34b are placed at the write head back gap and at the P2 pole tip, respectively. After applying and patterning a second alumina dielectric layer 36, a horizontal P3 pole piece 38 is added to magnetically interconnect the P2 stubs 34a and 34b. Note that the device layers below the P1 pole layer 22 are conventional in nature and are collectively referred to in the drawing figures as "other structure" for convenience.

It has been determined that the most preferred approach to fabricating the write head 20 would be to utilize a CMP (Chemical Mechanical Polishing) planarization step prior to deposition of the second alumina dielectric layer 36 and the P3 pole piece 38. In particular, after

formation of the copper coils 26, the hardbaked resist material 28, the first alumina dielectric layer 30, and the NiFe P2 stubs 34a/34b, these structures should be planarized to provide a flat horizontal surface onto which the second alumina dielectric layer 36 and the P3 pole piece 38 can be applied.

5 CMP is a known technique for planarizing various structures on a thin film substrate. The process creates a smooth planar surface for optimal lithographic processing steps of the intermediate thin film fabrication process. CMP planarization processing is used not only to planarize protruding surfaces, but also to remove undesirable residues that remain from other substrate processing steps.

10 The difficulty with using CMP planarization for the improved write head application described above is that current CMP methods will not polish away the four involved materials (copper, hardbaked resist, alumina and NiFe) at the same rate. These materials are removed at different rates, resulting in an uneven surface profile, particularly between the hardbaked resist and copper structures, and between the hardbaked resist and alumina structures.

15 Accordingly, an improved CMP planarization method is required if improvements in the fabrication of the above-described write head design are to be achieved. What is needed is a new CMP planarization process wherein a structure comprising copper, hardbaked resist, alumina and NiFe can be simultaneously polished in a way that facilitates more equal removal of the materials being planarized.

## 20 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The foregoing problems are solved and an advance in the art is obtained by a novel CMP planarization method using an improved CMP slurry whose chemistry is targeted to facilitate

improved equalization of copper, hardbaked resist, alumina and NiFe removal rates. More generally, the slurry can be targeted for any thin film magnetic head planarization process wherein hardbaked resist having relatively low surface energy is simultaneously planarized with other materials having comparatively higher surface energy. The CMP slurry includes a liquid vehicle containing an oxidant and a complexing agent, an abrasive, and a surfactant. It is applied to the surface of the copper, hardbaked resist, alumina and NiFe structures, and these structures are simultaneously planarized using a CMP planarization technique.

Exemplary surfactants include non-ionic surfactants such as octylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol, polyoxyethylene glycol, and the like, as well as anionic, cationic and ambipolar (amphoteric) surfactants. Exemplary slurries can be formulated with a surfactant concentration of between about 0.02-1.0 % (by volume), and more preferably at least about 0.2 % (by volume), and most preferably about 0.5 % (by volume). The abrasive may comprise silica, alumina, cerium oxide or any other suitable abrasive material. Exemplary slurries can be formulated with an abrasive concentration of about 3-30 % (by volume), and more preferably about 6-12 % (by volume) and most preferably about 9 % (by volume). The liquid vehicle may comprise an aqueous solution containing a quantity of a compound that provides both the oxidant and the complexing agent, such as ammonium persulfate or the like. A separately added oxidant (e.g., hydrogen peroxide, sodium persulfate, etc.) and a separately added complexing agent (e.g., ammonium carbonate) may also be used. Exemplary slurries can be formulated with an oxidant/complexing agent concentration of about 1.5-3 grams/liter and a slurry pH level ranging from about 6-10.5. If the oxidant/complexing agent is ammonium persulfate, with the ammonium providing the complexing agent and the persulfate providing the

oxidant, the preferred concentration of 1.5-3 grams/liter will produce an ammonium complexing agent concentration of about 237-474 ppm. Most preferred is an ammonium concentration of about 300 ppm and a slurry pH level of about 8.5-10.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

5        The foregoing and other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following more particular description of preferred embodiments of the invention, as illustrated in the accompanying Drawing, in which:

10        Fig. 1 is a vertical side sectional view of a prior art integrated read/write head wherein the write head incorporates a conventional P2 pole layer with a sloping surface extending to the pole tip;

      Fig. 2 is a vertical side sectional view of an improved design for a write head that incorporates a combined P2/P3 pole layer with no sloping surfaces;

15        Fig. 3 is a vertical side sectional view of the write head of Fig. 2 prior to CMP planarization of the P2 poles, the first alumina dielectric layer, the copper coils and the hardbaked resist insulative layer;

      Fig. 4 is a vertical side sectional view of the write head of Fig. 3 following CMP planarization of the P2 poles, the first alumina dielectric layer, the copper coils and the hardbaked resist insulation material;

20        Fig. 5 is a graph showing hardbaked resist and alumina removal rates based on the percentage (by volume) of surfactant present in a CMP slurry made according to the invention;

Fig. 6 is a simplified vertical sectional view of a magnetic disk drive that incorporates a write head made according to the present invention; and

Fig. 7 is a simplified horizontal sectional view of the disk drive of Fig. 6.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Turning now to the figures, wherein like reference numerals represent like elements in all of the several views, Figs. 3 and 4 respectively illustrate the write head of Fig. 2 (described by way of background above) prior to and following CMP planarization of the P2 poles, the first alumina dielectric layer, the copper coils and the hardbaked resist insulative material. In Fig. 3, the P1 pole 22 supports the integrated layer 24 containing the plural copper coils 26 (which are shown to include wide pitch coils 26a and narrow pitch coils 26b), the insulative hardbaked resist material 28, the first alumina dielectric layer 30 and the NiFe back gap and pole tip P2 stubs respectively designated by reference numerals 34a and 34b. The copper coils 26 are typically applied first, followed by the hardbaked resist material 28. The P2 stubs 34a and 34b are then deposited and the entire structure is covered with the first alumina dielectric layer 30.

Following deposition of the foregoing materials, CMP planarization is performed. The planarization process initially involves only removal of the first alumina dielectric layer 30, which lies above all the other structures. After a sufficient amount of the first alumina dielectric layer 30 is removed, the planarization process reaches the insulative hardbaked resist material 24 and thereafter exposes the copper coils 26 and the NiFe P2 stubs 34a and 34b. At this point, further planarization involves the simultaneous removal of copper, hardbaked resist, alumina and NiFe until the desired structural height of the NiFe P2 stubs 34a and 34b is reached, as shown in Fig. 4.



volume). Generally speaking, excessive abrasive will remove too much alumina while insufficient abrasive will result in an inadequate material removal rate. Persons skilled in the art will appreciate that the final concentration of abrasive should be selected to optimize the planarization process given these competing considerations.

5           The liquid vehicle may comprise an aqueous solution containing a quantity of a compound that provides both the oxidant and the complexing agent, such as ammonium persulfate or the like. A separately added oxidant (e.g., hydrogen peroxide, sodium persulfate, etc.) and a separately added complexing agent (e.g., ammonium carbonate) may also be used. For example, commonly assigned Application Serial No. 09/332,490, filed June 14, 1999, shows the separate addition of sodium persulfate and ammonium carbonate to a CMP slurry.

10           Exemplary slurries can be formulated with an oxidant/complexing agent concentration of about 1.5-3 grams/liter and a slurry pH level ranging from about 6-10.5. If the oxidant/complexing agent is ammonium persulfate, with the ammonium providing the complexing agent and the persulfate providing the oxidant, the preferred concentration of about 1.5-3 grams/liter will  
15           produce an ammonium concentration of about 237-474 ppm. Most preferred is an ammonium concentration of about 300 ppm and a slurry pH level of about 8.5-10. Generally speaking, excessive oxidant/complexing agent will oxidize too much copper and NiFe while insufficient oxidant/complexing agent will result in an inadequate metal removal rate. As in the case of the abrasive, persons skilled in the art will appreciate that the final concentration of

20           oxidant/complexing agent should be selected to optimize the planarization process given these competing considerations. It should be noted that the required amount of oxidant/complexing agent also depends on the amount of abrasive in the slurry and the slurry pH level. Relative to



the latter parameter, if the oxidant/complexing agent is ammonium persulfate, the pH affects the fraction thereof that is converted to ammonium, with higher pH causing more ammonium conversion and lower pH causing less ammonium conversion.

In general, the production of an optimal CMP slurry according to the invention will involve choosing a parameter such as surfactant concentration, abrasive content, pH level or oxidant/complexing agent concentration within the ranges specified above. Once one of the parameters has been chosen, the slurry that provides the best planarization can be approached by adjusting the other parameters to give equal rates of removal for each material to be removed.

An exemplary octylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol surfactant that may be used in a CMP slurry according to the invention is sold under the registered trademark TRITON® X100 by Rohm & Haas Corporation. Adding TRITON® X100 surfactant to the slurry at a concentration of between about 0.2-0.5 % (by volume) has been found to dramatically improve planarization results when compared to slurries that do not include a surfactant. Most preferred is a surfactant concentration of about 0.5 % (by volume) in a slurry that comprises water, about 9 % of a silica abrasive agent having a particle size of less than about 1000 Angstroms, and about 2-3 grams/liter of ammonium persulfate ((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>8</sub>) to provide the desired ammonium concentration of about 237-474 ppm, and the persulfate oxidant.

The addition of TRITON® X100 surfactant to a water/silica-based CMP slurry has been found to improve equalization of the CMP planarization rates of copper, hardbaked resist, alumina and NiFe materials by increasing the hardbaked resist removal rate relative to that of alumina. The data illustrated in Fig. 5 report blanket wafer removal rates of alumina and hardbaked resist (Y axis) using an abrasive CMP slurry containing water, about 9 % (by volume)

silica abrasive, and about 2 grams/liter ammonium persulfate, and increasing percentages (by volume) of added TRITON® X100 surfactant (X axis). Without the surfactant, the hardbaked resist removal rate is approximately 90 % slower than the alumina removal rate under the same polishing conditions using a conventional Strasbaugh model 6DS-SP CMP tool (5 psi down force, 40 rpm spindle speed and 45 rpm table speed). With the addition of about 0.1 % (by volume) of the surfactant, the hardbaked resist removal rate increases substantially. At about 0.2 % (by volume) of the surfactant, the hardbaked resist removal rate is only about 29 % slower than the alumina removal rate under the same polishing conditions. Above about 0.5 % (by volume) of added surfactant, the hardbaked resist removal rate does not measurably increase.

Following are two examples that illustrate the effectiveness of using a surfactant-enhanced CMP slurry to promote the simultaneous planarization of copper, hardbaked resist, alumina and NiFe structures. Example 1 below shows the results of CMP planarization using a CMP slurry without surfactant. Example 2 below shows the results of CMP planarization using the same CMP slurry with surfactant added thereto under roughly the same polishing conditions.

#### Example 1

The slurry of this example was based on a commercially available product sold under the name "SC-112" by Cabot Microelectronics, Corporation. As sold, this slurry product comprises water and about 12% (by volume) silica abrasive having a particle size of less than 1000 Angstroms. The abrasive content of the SC-112 slurry was diluted to 9 % abrasive content (by volume) by adding an ammonium persulfate/water mixture containing 10 grams/liter ammonium persulfate to produce the desired concentration of about 1.5-3 grams/liter ammonium persulfate in the slurry as a whole. A wafer comprising the structure shown in Fig. 3 was placed on a

Strasbaugh model 6DS-SP CMP tool equipped with a standard polishing pad. Note that the hardbaked resist material 28 was hardbaked resist 1529. The polishing conditions were 6 psi down force, 50 rpm spindle speed, 35 rpm table speed and 110 ml/minute slurry flow. Under these conditions, it was observed that the NiFe P2 stubs 34a/34b and the copper coils 26 were exposed after four minutes of polishing time. The planarization results, as measured with a profilometer, are shown by the table below, which sets forth the differences in height of the various materials of the planarized head 20 of Fig. 4.

Table 1:

STEP LOCATION	STEP SIZE
NiFe back gap P2 stub/hardbaked resist	1000 A
NiFe back gap P2 stub/alumina	350 A
Wide pitch copper coils/ hardbaked resist	200-250 A
Narrow pitch copper coils/hardbaked resist	150 A
Hardbaked resist/alumina	200-400 A

## 10 Example 2

The slurry of this example was the same as that used in Example 1 except that 0.5 % (by volume) of TRITON® X100 surfactant was added. A wafer containing the structure shown in Fig. 3 was placed on a Strasbaugh model 6EC CMP tool (similar to the Strasbaugh model 6DS-

SP CMP tool of Example 1) equipped with a standard polishing pad. As in Example 1, the hardbaked resist material 28 was hardbaked resist 1529. The polishing conditions were 6 psi down force, 40 rpm spindle speed, 41 rpm table speed and 110 ml/minute slurry flow. Both the NiFe P2 stubs 34a/34b and the copper coils 26 were exposed after seven minutes forty seconds of polishing time. The planarization results are shown by Table 2 below, which sets forth the differences in height of the various materials of the planarized head 20 of Fig. 4.

Table 2:

STEP LOCATION	STEP SIZE
NiFe back gap P2 stub/hardbaked resist	1600 A
NiFe back gap P2 stub/alumina	700 A
Wide pitch copper coils/ hardbaked resist	150 A
Narrow pitch copper coils/hardbaked resist	~ 0
Hardbaked resist/alumina	~ 0

Tables 1 and 2 show that there was a 600 A increase in the step between the NiFe back gap P2 stub 34a and nearby hardbaked resist material 28. There was also a 350 A increase in the step between the NiFe back gap P2 stub 34a and nearby material of the first alumina layer 30. These increases are attributable to the differences in spindle speed and table speed, and may be readily overcome by adjusting those mechanical parameters. Of more significance is the fact that

the step between the wide pitch copper coils 26a and the adjacent hardbaked resist material 28 dropped by 50-100 A, and the step between the narrow pitch copper coils 26b and the adjacent hardbaked resist material 28 dropped 150 A to zero. In addition, the step between the hardbaked resist material 28 and the first alumina layer 30 dropped 200-400 A to zero. These steps were not heretofore reducible to any significant degree by mechanical adjustments alone.

Turning now to Figs. 6 and 7, a disk drive 102 includes a write head constructed using the above-described CMP planarization process. The disk drive 102 conventionally includes a base casting 104 made from cast aluminum or other suitable material. A cover 105 is removably mounted thereto via a hermetic seal (not shown). The base casting 104 supports a conventional spindle drive motor 106 having an associated drive spindle 108. The drive spindle 108 carries a disk 110 for high speed rotation therewith. Other disks (not shown) may also be carried on the drive spindle 108 to form a spaced vertically stacked disk platter arrangement. The disk 110 is made from a suitable material of a type usually found in magnetic disk drive assemblies. In particular, the disk 110 is formed from a suitable disk substrate with appropriate coatings being applied thereto such that at least one, and preferably both, of the upper and lower surfaces of the disk are magnetically encodable and aerodynamically configured for high speed interaction with a read/write transducer (described below).

Data access to the disk 110 is achieved with the aid of an actuator 112 that is mounted for rotation about a stationary pivot shaft 114. The actuator 112 includes a rigid actuator arm 116 that carries a flexible suspension 118. The suspension 118 in turn carries a slider 120 that mounts a transducer 122. The transducer 122 is an integrated read/write head that includes a CMP planarized write head and a read head that may incorporate a conventional

10240-01402  
control root

magnetoresistive sensor. The actuator 112, which is conventionally driven by a voice coil motor 124, moves the slider 120 generally radially across the surface of the disk 110 so that the transducer 122 is able to trace concentric data tracks on the disk.

5 Data recorded on the disk 110 is read by the transducer 122 and processed into a readback signal by signal amplification and processing circuitry (not shown) that is conventionally located on the actuator arm 116. The readback signal, which carries both data and transducer position control information, is sent to the drive controller 125 for conventional processing.

10 It will be appreciated that the foregoing detailed description of the disk drive 102 and the transducer 122 is exemplary in nature, and that many other design configurations would be possible while still incorporating a write head that has been CMP planarized according to the invention. For example, the disk drive 102 may include a large number of disks and actuators, and each actuator may carry plural suspensions and multiple sliders. Moreover, instead of using an air bearing slider, an alternative transducer carrying structure may be used that maintains the transducer 122 in contact or near contact with the disk 110.

15 Accordingly, method for CMP planarization of a magnetic write head has been disclosed.

While various embodiments of the invention have been described, it should be apparent that many variations and alternative embodiments could be implemented in accordance with the invention. It is understood, therefore, that the invention is not to be in any way limited except in accordance with the spirit of the appended claims and their equivalents.